G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

57 **File No.** 327-10

1922.

Serial Nos. (1-6)

(97)

Later references.

P=33
E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for May 1913

SUBJECT.

Issue of Govt Proceedings for Govt Home Expenditure.

States that Govt are of opinion that the existing practice governing Govt proceedings in the case of Govt Home Expenditure should continue

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

2/12/22. 22/5. 22

G.R.S. 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(97)

NOTES.

File No. 321-10

FILE HEADING.

Issue of Govt Progs for Govt House Expenditure

From

From the Military Secretary

No. 2128 Dated 21/2/1911 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-9-11

P.T.O.

G. R. S. 12]
eneral and Revenue Secretariat.

G. Z. File No. 321 of 1910-11.

Serial No.	
1.	From the Military Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja No: 2128 Dy 2.2.11 1- Requests to be informed why it is considered necessary to issue Proceedings of Govt for Govt House expenditure while money can be spent in the Mysore I.S.T. Corps without any such Proc^s. Subm^d for orders. 10/2/11 10/2/11
No 2876 dy 11th Feby/11	2. Forwarded (C) to the Military Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja requesting for favor of stating the circumstances that led to the query contained in the letter under acknowledgment and for furnishing the papers connected with it if any. By order Asst Secretary 10.2.11
	3. The Dewan asked me some questions about this matter 4.2.11
	4. It is not known how any expenditure other than sanctioned charges can be incurred in any Department without fresh sanctions issued in the shape of Govt proceedings. (Secy) 11.2.11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

GF File No. 321 of 1910 11

Serial No.

5- Please ask the Military Secy kindly to explain & illustrate by concrete examples the difference in practice which he refers to. As far as the audit office is aware the same rules are applied to Govt House & I. T. C. expenditure in auditing bills.

M
B
Draft
8/3/11

6.

Draft Submitted.
Hov (86)
8-3-11

7. Issued 8.3.11

md 8/3/11

2 To the Mily Secy to H.H. the Maharaja
No: H 4842 dy 16.3.1911

8. Requests to explain and illustrate by Concrete examples the difference in pract Which he refers to and States that it is understood that the same rules are appli to Govt House and I.T.C. expenditure in auditing bills in the Comptroller's Office.

3. From the Military Secy: to H.H.
No: 1117 dy 20-10-12.

9. Specifies 3 items of expenditure —

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D 1470

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gy F. File No. 321 of 1910-11

Serial No.

incurred in connection with the Imperial Service Transport Corps for which no Proceedings of Govt: are passed and states that Govt: Proceedings are considered necessary to Pass Govt: House bills on account of similar charges.

10. The information was called for by the Financial Secy.

Submitted 1-11-12

11. So long as there is a Standing Sanctioned Line Mo necessity for Obtaining fresh Sanction for Expenditure under these Hds. In the case of Hds mentioned by the Audit Secy it does not seem necessary to obtain fresh Sanction for meeting Expenditure of the kind mentioned in the letter for Govt House. The Audit Secy has any other Hds or Sub-Hds for which fresh Expenditure Sanction limits.

2.XI.12

12. A/c Military for remarks

5.11.12 4/11 4.11.12

13. Asst Secy Please see papers herewith &

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G E File No. 321. of 1910-11

Serial No.

X V

put up a draft reply -

14. It is a question of applying the 25 & 10 rupee limits.

15. The practice is not uniform and A Comptroller's office has tried to explain why it is not so.

16. I have no objn. to change the practice if the rules allow or require.

28/1/13

17. Draft submitted with Reference

Revised. Draft Sealed:

18 (1) Where do you get the information from that the Military Asstt. was not considered as the head of a department? I think it is not correct.

(2) No reference to Rs 10 or Rs 25 rule. Should not that rule be observed except in respect of items for which it has been relaxed?

14/1/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G. F. File No. 321. of 1910-11

Serial No.

Submitted.

19 The information that the Military Assistant was not considered as the head of a Department was taken from the X note put up by the Comptroller's office.

20 - In the note referred to, it is stated that that Department is not aware of any Govt. order recognizing the Military Asstt. as the Head of a Department. Rule 23 & 21 Regs. do not necessarily the Head of a Deptt.

22 - Upon the above limit the charges mentioned & the Military Asstt. cannot Countersign Charges Bills on which it was made.

Regs 17.1.13

Fair draft Submitted.

Regs 22.1.13

23/1/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. F. File No. 321 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
4	To the Military Secretary No. 4297 of 31.1.'13 23. States that a G.O. is necessary in respect of the value of purchases exceeding the limits prescribed in G.O. of 9th Oct 1906 but is not necessary in the case of the most of the charges of the I.S.T. Corps which are incurred according to a sanctioned scale within the limit of the Budget grant; and that the whole trend of the rules is that the Heads of Depts. should not purchase specially valuable articles without the sanction of Govt.
5	From the same Officer No. 2241 of 15/2/13 24. Points out that as G.O. of Oct 1906 refers only to purchases from contingent grant it does not apply to purchases on account of entertainments which are attended to by the Military Secy under the Direct orders of H.H. the Maharaja & states that he is of opinion that a bill supported by vouchers will quite do for audit purposes. Subd. HHM-77 22/2/13 25. I think there would be no objection

File continued in the ordinary manner

17/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.T. File No. 321 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Military Secy's Contention and the opinion of the Law Officers requiring any Sptl kind of Expenditure be sanctioned by Govt up to the limits of Appropriate Power without further Sanction of Govt.

Secy

Secy

AC J.A.D

26 How is the term "contingent grant" interpreted in the order of 9.10.1916. Does it include supply & services?

JM
26/2

27 The term "contingent grant" is held to include "supplies and services". This may be inferred from note 1 and note 2 (under) of the third dist of exceptions) under para 472 of the Mysore Treasury Manual.

26-2-13

28 I am unable to agree with the view expressed by the Military Secy in his letter of 15.2.1913 and adhere to the views expressed in my letter of 31.1.1913.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

J. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G/T. File No. 321 of 1912-11

Serial No.

29 There are no orders under which all expenditure incurred by the Military Dept on entertainments can be regarded as having received H. H's approval. If orders to that effect are passed all difficulties will disappear. At present all orders of H. H. as regards expenditure of first money emanate through a certain channel and the audit office has no right to accept anything as an order of H. H's first unless it comes in the usual way.

30 Sanction of Govt. in the case of expenditure incurred by the Military Dept will not in all cases be a matter of form for all doubtful points will have to go up to the Dewan and if necessary submitted to H. H. in the usual way for orders.

31 There may be many items in first House bills like electric power, Railway freight &c which do not require Govt. sanction. But generally bills of first house expenditure contain many items which do require such sanction under the present rules and as a matter of convenience the whole bill is sanctioned by Govt. instead of specifying the several items. This practice in my

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.F. File No. 321 of 1912-13

Serial No.

respectful opinion should continue.

26/2

1646
27.2.13

Dewan)

32. I agree.

33. A draft on the basis of the Financial Secretary's note may be put up for submission to His Highness

5-4-13 RG

D.

430 PM

34. Draft submitted.

WOR 57 6-4-13 DM

Wells)

4/4

2569
18.4.13

35. Draft modified and initialled

M
16/4

36. Please put up clean fair draft for signature

4/4 Wells

Rd
17-4-13

37. Clean fair draft Sealed.

WOR 17-4-13 DM

4/4 13.

Dewan)

2569
18.4.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G7 File No. 321 of 1910

Serial No.	
6	From the Military Secretary to H.H. No. 2467 dt. 15. 3. 1913. Requests to be explained why it is considered necessary to issue proceedings of Govt for Fort House expenditure. Papers connected with the issue of Letter No. 2241 dt. 15/2/1913 are now before the Dewan. May this be submitted in continuation? Submitted 27/3. Dewan.

1646 P.D.

2195 31.3.13.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Submitted to His Highness.

Draft for approval.

I submit herewith for His Highness' approval, the draft of a reply proposed to be issued to the Military Secretary to His Highness explaining the necessity for his obtaining the sanction of Government in the usual course in regard to certain items of expenditure connected with the Government House entertainments.

2. The draft prepared by the Financial Secretary explains and deals with the points raised by the Military Secretary. It may be kindly approved.

M. Vivoraya.

8th May 1913

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Draft reply to the Military Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja regarding the necessity for his obtaining the sanction of Government in regard to certain items of expenditure connected with the Government House entertainments.

Order.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G-F File No. 321 of 1913-14

Serial No.

THE PALACE,
BANGALORE.
5.9.13

My dear Mr Lakshmana Rao,

I am directed to send the enclosed file for orders. Kindly return the same when done with.

Yours sincerely
A. Venkatasubbaiya

Subs to Private Secretary.
6.9.13.
MR

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIE—C1,000—17-6-12.

G.7 321-10
1

M.

No. 2128 Dated, Bangalore 25 February 1911.

File No.

From

LT.-COL. R. G. JONES, I.A.,
Military Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

J. S. Chakravarti, Esqr., M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Finl. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will kindly let me know why it is considered necessary to issue Proceedings of Government for Government House expenditure while money can be spent in the Mysore Imperial Service Transport Corps without any such Proceedings.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Lt. Col.,
Military Secretary

GPB—500—15-12-10

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 321-1

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3122

No. H 4822

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190

Date of issue 16-3-11

Signed by W L Thornhill

To

16-3-1911

The Military Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter no: 2128 dated the 2nd February 1911 enquiring why it is considered necessary to issue Proceedings of Govt for Govt House expenditure while money can be spent in the Mysore I. S. T. Corps without any such proceedings, I am directed to request you to be so good as to kindly explain and illustrate by Concrete examples the difference in practice which you refer to.

2 - I am also to state that

over.

SUBJECT.

It is understood at least
~~Govt understand~~ that the
same rules are applied
to Govt House and D. T. C.
expenditure in auditing
bills in the Comptroller's
Office.

I have &c

[illegible]
For Fin Secy to Govt.

Hon (86)
8/3/11

[illegible]
8/3

List of enclosures,

Copied by [illegible] 13-3-4

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

F/28 [illegible] No 1117

Office of the Military Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore the 28 October 1912.

D 14770
12-13
G.F.
3 21-10
3

From

The Military Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your office reminder No.Fl.1202 dated 20th September 1912, I have the honor to inform you that the following are some of the items of expenditure incurred in connection with the Imperial Service Transport Corps, for which no Proceedings of Government are passed:

1) Feed & keep of Transport ponies and contingent charges &c., e.g.for Rs.6245-4-5 for July'12
2) Supply of Electric Power,e.g. for Rs.68-10-6 for Imp. Service Transport Workshop.
3) Railway charges, e. g. Rs.35/- paid to the offg. Commandant on account of gram received from Messrs: Banumaiah & son, Mysore.

whereas, Government Proceedings are considered necessary to Pass Government House bills on account of similar charges e.g.

1) Feed & keep of ~~[illegible]~~ Harness Horses e.g.Bill for Rs.109-14-0 ~~[illegible]~~ for July 1912 vide Progs of Govt. No.842-3 dated 21st July1912
2) Supply of Electric Power e. g. Bill for Rs.93-4-0 for July Vide Progs of Govt.No.643-4 dated 24th July 1912.
3) Railway charges, e. g. Bill for Rs.413/- for June vide Progs of Govt. No.G.H.699 dated 3d Septr.12
4) Contingent charges, e.g. bill for Rs.413/- for 11-12 on account of supply of clothing

2. I shall, therefore,be glad to know the reason for this

difference in practice.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Military Secretary.

B. T.

4/2

5 Copies

G.R.S. 26]

G. F. File No. 321-1

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1470

Fair Draft.

No. H 4297

31-1-13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19

To

The Military Secy: to H
the Maharaja

Date of issue 31-1-13

Signed by W. L. Nagarunbar Asst Sec,

Adverting to correspon
ence ending with your
letter no: 117 dated the
28th October 1912 enquirin
why it is considered
necessary to issue Proceed
ings of Govt: for Govt:
House expenditure,
while money can be

over.

SUBJECT.

spent in the Mysore
Imperial Service Transport
Corps without any such
proceedings. I am directed
to state that Sanction of
Govt: is required in the
case of Govt: House
purchases under G. O.
No: Fd: 2647-92/Gen-Fr in.
112-06-1 dated the 9th
October 1906; which requires
that for all purchases
exceeding Rs 25/- in value
in the case of articles made
in India and exceeding
Rs 10/- in the case of articles
made out of India the
Sanction of Govt: should
be obtained. In the case
of most charges relating
to the I. S. T. Corps there
being general Sanction
of Govt: laying down
Scale etc: Separate

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

sanction is not insisted upon. For example, in the illustrations given by you in your letter of the 28th October 1912 the first item being Feed and Keep of Transport ponies within the Scale sanctioned in Gr. O No

C + D

Mily: 2082-3/67 and 15-56/87 dated respectively 29th Dec 1904 & 22nd Oct 1906 did not require separate Govt: sanction; the second item being Supply of electric power is covered by the order of Govt: sanctioning the installation of Electric power; while the third item being Railway freight is not a purchase item at all.

A + B

C 3111-2 / = 202-04-2 & 32-H135-7 / 8 F 259-08 dated respectively 15th March 1909

2. The whole trend of the Rules in this

matter is that Heads of Departments should not purchase specially valuable articles without the approval of Govt: For example, to take an extreme case of the head of a Department were given unrestricted power to purchase within his budget grant the whole of that grant may be spent in purchasing a single article of furniture of very large value which Govt: may not desire. You will then be pleased to see the healthy check that underlies the rule which requires Govt: sanction for most of the purchases relating to Govt: house.

I have the honour

42-98-31-1-13 [illegible] 23/11/13

Private Secy

Copy to Comptr: 22.1.13

Copies 28/1/13

with Enc: 5.

GPB—15,000—13-11-11

Copy to Branch V and VI.

[illegible]

No 2241

II
19/2

Military Secretary's Office
Bangalore 15½ February 1913

From

The Military Secretary
to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To 3/32

12 13

The Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

G.F.
321-10
149-12

5

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.4297/G.F.321-10-4 dated 31st January 1913, I have the honor to point out that Government Order No.Fl.2647-92/G.F.112-06-1 dated 9th October 1906 refers purely to purchases out of contingent grants and is therefore not applicable to purchases made on account of Entertainments. The Entertainment functions are carried out every year by the Military Secretary under the direct orders of His Highness the Maharaja. I am therefore of opinion that it will quite answer the purpose of an audit department if a bill supported by vouchers is sent to the Comptroller for all expenditure incurred on account of Entertainments. He will then be in a position to see whether any unusual expenditure under a particular head has been incurred. Further it is merely a matter of form and no object gained by a Government proceedings being passed in addition, in this department as Secretary to Government, as it does not assist in any way "the healthy check" referred to in the letter under reply.

Government proceedings are not considered necessary for the supply of electric power and railway freight in connection with Transport Corps I cannot understand why they are deemed necessary in the case of Government House for similar charges.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

A Military Secretary

No 2467

Military Secretary's Office
Bangalore 15th March 1913.

DIARY No. 3571

19/3/13
SECTION 5.2
FILE No. 321-10
No. 6

From

The Military Secretary
to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with this Office letter No.2241 dated 15th February 1913, I have the honor to request that you will kindly explain why it is considered necessary to issue proceedings of Government for Government House expenditure.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Military Secretary

G.R.S. 26]

G-F File No. 32
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 3132

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To
The Officiating Militar
Secy: to H.H. the Maha

Date of issue

Signed by

Sir,
I beg. to acknowledge the rec
amended
~~Adverting to~~ your
letter no. 2241 dated 15th
regarding the
February 1913 ~~disagreeing~~
~~in my opinion that the~~
~~issue of Govt: Proceedings~~
~~is necessary for Govt: Hous~~
~~expenditure I am directe~~
~~to state as follows:-~~

2. ~~All orders of His Highnes~~

over.

SUBJECT.

X Paper under disposal

continuance of the procedure of issuing ~~the~~ Govt proceedings sanctioning expenditure in connection with ~~the~~ Govt House entertainments. In this letter you state that Govt order No. — dt 9.10.1906 refers only to contingent grants and is therefore not applicable to purchases made on account of entertainments. You also observe that the entertainment functions are carried out every year by the Military Secretary under the direct orders of H.H. the Maharaja and that the issue of Govt proceedings as a mere matter of form does not ~~except~~ serve any useful purpose.

2. In reply I beg leave to point out ~~to state~~ that the term "contingent grant" in the order of 9th Octr 1906 is held for audit purposes to include grants for "supplies and services". In fact the object of the order being to prevent the purchase of costly articles without the sanction of Govt the exclusion from the scope of the order of grants under "supplies and services" would defeat that object in the majority of cases.

3. With reference to your statement that the entertainment functions are carried out under the direct orders of ~~H.H.~~ the Maharaja I am

2647-92/G.F.112-06-1.

to state that all orders of H. H.

regards expenditure
of Govt: money emanate
through a certain channel
and the audit office
has no right to accept
any Govt communication (or document as having received the approval
~~in order~~ of His Highness's
Government unless it comes
in the usual way. In the
case of expenditure
incurred by the Military
Secy: Sanction of Govt:
will not in all cases be a
matter of form, for all
doubtful points will have
to go up to the Dewan and
if necessary submitted
to His Highness in the usual
way for orders.

3. There are many
items in Govt: House
bills like electric power,
Railway freight etc
which do not require

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Govt: Sanction. But generally bills of Govt House expenditure contain many items which do require Sue Sanction under the presen rules and as a matter of convenience the whole bill is sanctioned by Govt: instead of specifying the several items

4. For the foregoing reasons I am directed to sa that Govt: are of opinion that the existing practic of issuing Govt: Proceedin in the case of Govt: House expenditure should contin

I have etc

Financial Sec

Copy to Accountant General

B. C.

For Financial Secy

Copy to Branch V

For Financial Secy

Clean draft

G.R.S. 26]

G. F. File No 321-10.

Serial No,

Diary No. of letter replied to 3132

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

The Offg: Military Secy

to H. H: the Maharaja

Signed by

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge

the receipt of your letter No: 221

dated 15th February 1913.

regarding the continuance

of the procedure of issuing Govt:

proceedings sanctioning

expenditure in connection

with Govt: House entertainment

In this letter it is stated

over.

SUBJECT.

that Govt. order No. Fb: 2647-92/ V
G.P. 112-06-1 dated 9th October 1906.
refers only to contingent grants
and is therefore not applicable
to purchases made on account
of entertainments. ~~Govt~~ It is also
observed that the Entertainment
functions are carried out
every year by the Military
Secretary under the direct
orders of His Highness the
Maharaja and that the issue
of Govt: proceedings as a
mere matter of form does not
serve any useful purpose.
- In reply I have to point out
that the term "contingent grant"
in the order of 9th October 1906
is held for audit purposes
to include grants for "Supplies
and Services". ~~In fact~~ The
object of the order being to
prevent the purchase
of costly articles without
the Sanction of Govt.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the exclusion from the scope of the order of grants under "supplies and services" would defeat that object in the majority of cases.

3. With reference to ~~the~~ Your statement that the entertainment functions are carried out under the direct orders of H. H. the Maharaja, I am directed to state that all orders of His Highness as regards expenditure of Govt. money emanate through a certain channel and the audit office has no right to accept any communication or document as having received the approval of His Highness's Govt. unless it comes in the usual way. In the case

of expenditure incurred by the Military Secretary sanction of Govt will not in all cases be a matter of form, for all doubtful points will have to go up to the Dewan and if necessary submitted to His Highness. in the usual way for orders.

4. There are many items in Govt: House bills like electric power, Railway freight etc which do not require Govt: Sanction. But generally bills of Govt: House expenditure contain many items which do require such Sanction under the present rules and as a matter of convenience the whole bill is Sanctioned by Govt: instead of specifying the several items

5. For the foregoing reasons.

GPB—15,000—18-11-11

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

I am directed to say
that Govt: are of opinion
that the existing practice
of issuing Govt: proceeding
in the case of Govt: House
expenditure should continue

9.5.13
EC

I have etc.

Financial Secy
copy to 10/5/13 Comptroller

By Order

Fin: Secy.
10/5/13
copy to Branch V

10/5
17-4-13

10/5/13